

Università di Roma, Preprint n. 855

hep-th/9204009

2+1 Dimensional Quantum Gravity as a Gaussian Fermionic System and the 3D-Ising Model

Giuseppe Bonacina

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy

Maurizio Martellini[★]

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di
Roma "La Sapienza", I-00185 Roma, Italy
I.N.F.N., sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*

and

Mario Rasetti

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, I-10129 Torino, Italy
I.N.F.M., Unità Torino Politecnico, Torino, Italy*

ABSTRACT

We show that 2+1-dimensional Euclidean quantum gravity is equivalent, under some mild topological assumptions, to a Gaussian fermionic system. In particular, for manifolds topologically equivalent to $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{R}$ with Σ_g a closed and oriented Riemann surface of genus g , the corresponding 2+1-dimensional Euclidean quantum gravity may be related to the 3D-lattice Ising model before its thermodynamic limit.

PACS # 04.60 ; 05.50 ; 02.40.P

★ Permanent address: Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy

1. Introduction

A few years ago, Witten^[1] showed that 2+1-dimensional quantum gravity in a first order dreibein formalism is exactly soluble at the classical and quantum levels. The key point in Ref. 1 is the observation that the dreibein e_μ^a and the spin connection $\omega_\mu^a \equiv \varepsilon^{abc}\omega_\mu^{bc}$ form a gauge field of the group $ISO(2, 1)$ ($ISO(3)$) in Lorentzian (Euclidean) signature. Thus, the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} I = \frac{k}{2} \int_{M^3} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} e_\mu^a R_{\nu\rho}^a(\omega) \\ R_{\nu\rho}^a(\omega) \equiv \partial_\nu \omega_\rho^a - \partial_\rho \omega_\nu^a + [\omega_\nu, \omega_\rho]^a \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

becomes the non-Abelian Chern-Simons action on M^3 with gauge group $G = ISO(2, 1)$ or $ISO(3)$ depending on the signature of the 3D-manifold M^3 . Here, and in the following, we shall assume M^3 closed and oriented than otherwise stated. However, in this context the meaning of solvability is quite obscure, since in Witten's approach solvability is ascribed to the fact that the Hilbert space is essentially the space of half-densities on the moduli space of flat $SO(2, 1)$ ($SO(3)$) connections on Σ_g , where Σ_g is a spacelike surface of M^3 , which is a closed Riemann surface of genus g . Witten resorts to a canonical quantization scheme, which requires that M^3 is topologically $\sim \Sigma_g \times \mathbb{R}$. This result clearly doesn't tell us anything on the full quantum dynamics (*i.e.* the inclusion in the scheme of correlation functions), it is restricted to three manifolds topologically equivalent to $\Sigma_g \times \mathbb{R}$ and it essentially prescribes solving the Hamiltonian constraints of 3D-QG before quantizing, which is a procedure not necessarily equivalent to the standard (covariant) BRST-quantization.

In this note, we shall show how for each fixed generic (closed) three manifold M^3 , the partition function $Z_{EQG}(M^3)$ of the Euclidean continued 3D-QG is equivalent (up to a normalization factor) to the partition function of a Gaussian discrete fermionic system whose action encodes the topological nature of M^3 . Namely, we shall represent M^3 as the manifold obtained by Dehn surgery on S^3 along a link $L \subset S^3$ ^[2] and show that (under some suitable conditions) $Z_{EQG}(M^3) = Z_{EQG}(L; S^3)$ is the partition function of the free fermions propagating on the link diagram D_L and on its r-parallel versions. One may then study

the unknown correlation functions of 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity, in a way similar to the 3D-Ising model,^[3] directly in the fermionic formulation, which is Gaussian, rather than in the (non-linear) Chern-Simons gauge description[◇]. In particular, in the case M^3 is a hyperbolic three-manifold N^3 , $\partial N^3 \neq \emptyset$, we shall show that $Z_{EQG}(N^3)$ is related to the reduced partition function of the 3D-Ising model on the lattice Λ which is embeddable in ∂N^{3*} .

2. The Euclidean 3D-QG Partition Function and the Alexander-Conway Polynomial

Our starting point is Witten's result about the partition function of Euclidean 3D-QG. He shows^[6] that if one selects a non-degenerate metric $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ on M^3 and a (background) flat $SO(3)$ spin connection $\bar{\omega}_{\mu(\alpha)}^a$, where α is a labelling index, and uses the Landau background gauge condition

$$\bar{D}_{(\alpha)}^\mu e_\mu^a = \bar{D}_{(\alpha)}^\nu \mu_\mu^a = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where $\bar{D}_{(\alpha)}^\mu \equiv \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{D}_{\nu(\alpha)}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}_\nu$ associated to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ plus the flat connection $\bar{\omega}_{\mu(\alpha)}$ of interest, *i.e.* $\bar{D}_{\nu(\alpha)} = \bar{\nabla}_\nu + [\bar{\omega}_{\nu(\alpha)}, \cdot]$, then the Euclidean partition function for the 3D-QG including the Fadeev-Popov ghosts reads

$$\begin{cases} Z_{EQG}(M^3) = \sum_{(\alpha)} Z_{EQG(\alpha)}(M^3) \\ Z_{EQG(\alpha)}(M^3) = \frac{[\text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{0(\alpha)})]^2}{|\text{Det}'(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{(\alpha)})|} = \frac{[\text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{1(\alpha)})]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{2(\alpha)})} [\text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{3(\alpha)})]^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

(since: $\text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{k(\alpha)}) = \text{Det}'(\bar{\Delta}_{(3-k)(\alpha)})$) where $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{(\alpha)} = *\bar{D}_{(\alpha)} + \bar{D}_{(\alpha)}*$ (here $*$ is the Hodge duality operator) and $\bar{\Delta}_{i(\alpha)} \equiv (\bar{D}_{\mu(\alpha)} \bar{D}_{(\alpha)}^\mu)_i$ is the Laplacian operator acting on twisted i -forms. Furthermore $\text{Det}'(*)$ in (2.2) is a functional determinant,

◇ Till now it is not known which kind of generalized Jones polynomials give the non-Abelian Chern-Simons theory with a non-compact gauge group $ISO(3)$ and on a generic three manifold M^3 not homeomorphic to S^3 .

* Clearly, the 3D-Ising model is understood before the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ is taken. In this limit, one should also perform $g \rightarrow \infty$. In our picture, this amounts to considering^[4] a sort of double scaling limit (DSL)^[5] at the level of the reduced EQG-partition function on the Riemann surface $\Sigma_g \sim \partial N^3$. Indeed, the DSL is the usual way of formulating the genus expansion, *i.e.* the sum over all genera.

regularized, for instance, by zeta-function technique^[7] and omitting zero-modes. Equation (2.2) is derived under the following assumptions:

- i) that the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$ of flat $SO(3)$ connections modulo $SO(3)$ -gauge transformations consists of finitely many points, and $\bar{\omega}_{\mu(\alpha)}$ is an arbitrary representative of $\mathcal{N}$. If $M^3 \sim \Sigma_g \times \mathbb{R}$, is an orientable closed Riemann surface of genus g , $\mathcal{N}$ has connected components corresponding to Euler classes $2g - 2, 2g - 3, \dots, -(2g - 2)$. Here, the relevant component is that, say $\bar{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$, of maximal Euler class $2g - 2$ (Ref. 6);
- ii) that all $\mathcal{N}$ connections are irreducible. Of course, these conditions on $\mathcal{N}$ drastically restrict the allowed topologies of M^3 , however, as this set of “good topologies” is not empty, it is reasonable to work out a quantization scheme for Euclidean 3D-gravity only for this particular set of topological three manifolds. On the basis of such assumption, we notice first that the ratio of determinants in (2.2) is in fact the Ray-Singer analytic torsion^[8] (R.S.-torsion), $T_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^3)$, relative to the orthogonal representation $\rho(\alpha) : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow O(3)$ (*i.e.* the i-forms on the universal cover of M^3 transform according to $\rho(\alpha)$). This is a topological invariant of $M^{3\star}$, which labels homotopy equivalent spaces.

Let us assume that $\rho(\alpha)$ is acyclic, *i.e.* that $H^*(M^3, \rho(\alpha))$ is zero. Cheeger and Müller have shown^[9] that in this case the R.S.-torsion $T_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^3)$ is equivalent to the so-called Reidemeister torsion^[10] (R.-torsion), $\tau_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^3) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, which is a non-homotopy topological invariant that may be computed from the twisted (by $\rho(\alpha)$) cochain complex associated to M^3 by the suitable alternating product of determinants. In this case, therefore, one may set ^{$\diamond$} :

$$Z_{EQG(\alpha)}(M^3) = T_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^3) = \tau_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^3). \quad (2.3)$$

A few comments are in order:

- i) In the definition of the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\rho(\alpha)}(M^n)$ one must start with a PL-manifold; but every 3-manifold may be triangulated and hence the PL-assumption is unnecessary.

★ Therefore, it is independent of the metric used in the gauge fixing and Fadeev-Popov terms.

◇ Fried^[11] has shown that this identification survives also in the non acyclic case if $\rho(\alpha)$ is orthogonal.

ii) The representation $\rho_{(\alpha)} : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow O(m)$ extends to a unique ring homomorphism from the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}(\pi_1(M^3))$ to the ring of all real $m \times m$ -matrices. Now the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\rho_{(\alpha)}}(M^3)$, as defined in Ref. 10, is an element of the so-called Whitehead group $\bar{K}_1 M_m(\mathbb{R})$. It is known (Ref. 10) that $\bar{K}_1 M_m(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \bar{K}_1 \mathbb{R}$, which, in terms of the Reidemeister torsion, is equivalent to saying that the representation of $\pi_1(M^3)$ is given by the ring homomorphism $\varphi_{(\alpha)} : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow F_0$, where F_0 is the commutative multiplicative group of a field, *e.g.* the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{\rho_{(\alpha)}}(M^3) = \tau_{\varphi_{(\alpha)}}(M^3) \\ \rho_{(\alpha)} : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow O(m) \\ \varphi_{(\alpha)} : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow F_0(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Our next step will be connecting Eq. (2.4) to an appropriate Alexander polynomial^[12] Δ_L . For this purpose, we need the general definition of Dehn surgery on a 3-variety. Following Lickorish,^[13] we may always construct M^3 by Dehn surgery along a link $L = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n$ in S^3 in the following way:

$$M^3 = [S^3 - (K_{f_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{f_n}^\circ)] \cup_h (K_{f_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{f_n}) \equiv (S^3 - L_f) \cup_h L_f \quad (2.5)$$

where $K_{f_i}^\circ$ is the interior of K_{f_i} and K_{f_i} is the *preferred framing* f_i of each component K_i of $L \subset S^3$, *i.e.* the map $K_i \rightarrow K_{f_i} \sim S^1 \times D^2$ in which the longitude λ_i is oriented in the same way as K_i and the meridian μ_i has linking number $+1$ with L_i . In (2.5), h is the union of homomorphisms $h_i : \partial K_{f_i} \rightarrow \partial K_{f_i} \subset M^3$ defined by $h_*(\mu_i) = [J_i] = a_i \lambda_i + b_i \mu_i$, where b_i is the linking number between L_i and J_i , whereas J_i is a specified fixed simple closed curve in each ∂K_{f_i} (clearly $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). Notice that the homeomorphism type of M^3 does not depend on the choice of h . Then we have the

Fundamental Theorem (Ref. 13): every closed oriented three-manifold may be obtained by Dehn surgery on a link L in S^3 with surgery coefficients $r_i = \frac{b_i}{a_i}$.

The key point in the proof of the fundamental theorem and in what we shall show later about the 3D-Ising model (Sec. 3), is the noticing that h , defined

equivalently as $h : S^3 - \{K_{f_i}^\circ\} \rightarrow M^3 - \{K_{f_i}\}$, may be characterized by *an element τ of the genus- g mapping class group* (extensively defined in Sec. 3). We do it in the following way. Every closed, orientable three-manifold M^3 admits, apart from the Dehn surgery representation quoted previously, a Heegaard decomposition: $M^3 = H_1 \cup_\tau H_2$, $\partial H_1 \sim -\partial H_2 \sim \Sigma_g$, $\tau : \partial H_2 \rightarrow \partial H_1$, where H_1 and H_2 are handlebodies of genus g , *i.e.* roughly speaking, orientable three-manifolds, with boundary an algebraic curve Σ_g , which are obtained by attaching g disjoint handles $D^2 \times [-1, 1]$ to 3-balls B^3 . Let us choose now a Heegaard decomposition of the same genus g for S^3 and M^3 given by: $S^3 = H_1 \cup_f H_2$ and $M^3 = H_1 \cup_{f'} H'_2$, where $f : \partial H_2 \rightarrow \partial H_1$ and $f' : \partial H'_2 \rightarrow \partial H_1$ (H_2 and H'_2 are handlebodies of the same genus g). Thus, the above homeomorphism h may be defined as $I_d \times l$, where l is the homeomorphism $l : H_2 - \{K_{f_i}^\circ\} \rightarrow H'_2 - \{K_{f_i}^\circ\}$. Now, one could show (Ref. 13) that l can always be obtained as an extension of the mapping class group element $\tau = (f')^{-1}f : \partial H_2 \rightarrow \partial H'_2 \sim \partial H_2$, *i.e.* τ belongs to the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self-diffeomorphisms of the orientable, closed Riemann surface $\Sigma_g \sim \partial H_2$. This latter statement follows from the fact that the handlebodies H'_2 and H_2 are homeomorphic since they have the same genus g (Ref. 2).

As Milnor (Ref. 10) first noticed, there is a close connection between the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_\varphi(S^3 - L_f)$. Let us remind briefly the definition of Alexander polynomial for a link $L = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n$ in S^3 . If V_L is the exterior of L , *i.e.* $V_L = S^3 - L_f$, then the homology group $H_1(V_L)$ is canonically isomorphic to a free Abelian multiplicative group with n free generators $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. The generator t_i corresponds to the homology class of a meridian μ_i of the preferred framing f_i of K_i , $f_i : K_i \rightarrow K_{f_i}$. Clearly, if L is a knot, that is if $n = 1$, we simply write t_1 instead of t . The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(V)]$ is identified via this correspondence with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$. The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of the link $L \subset S^3$ is this Laurent polynomial in the variables $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ determined up to multiplication by polynomials of the form $\pm t_1^{r_1} \dots t_n^{r_n}$ with integral $r_1, \dots, r_n$. To summarize, the Alexander polynomial is a homology invariant computable from the one-dimensional homology group of the exterior of the link with appropriate twisted coefficients. Then the Milnor-Turaev theorem^[14] states that:

Milnor-Turaev Theorem (Ref. 14): the Alexander polynomial Δ_L of a link in S^3 is equal (up to a standard factor) to the Reidemeister torsion τ_φ of the exterior of the link, *i.e.*

$$\tau_\varphi(S^3 - L_f) \simeq \Delta_L(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad (2.6)$$

where φ is the ring homomorphism: $\pi_1(S^3 - L_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$. Indeed, $S^3 - K_{f_i}$ is a space with the homology of a solid torus, *i.e.* $H_{i=0,1}(S^3 - K_{f_i}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (otherwise zero), and hence in the case when $M^3 = S^3 - L_f$ we may identify the commutative multiplicative group F_0 defined in (2.4) with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}(t_i, t_i^{-1})$. In general, if we have a set of homomorphisms $\rho_{(\alpha)} : \pi_1(S^3 - L_f) \rightarrow F_{0(\alpha)}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(t_{i(\alpha)}, t_{i(\alpha)}^{-1})$, the correspondence (2.6) will take the form $\tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}(S^3 - L_f) \simeq \Delta_{L(\alpha)}(t_i)$, where $\Delta_{L(\alpha)}(t_i) \equiv \Delta_L(t_{i(\alpha)})$. However, the sums (in (α)) over $\tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}$ will become products (in (α)) over $\Delta_{L(\alpha)}$ since $\Delta_{L(\alpha)}$ is by definition an element of the multiplicative group, namely of $\mathbb{Z}[H_{1(\alpha)}(S^3 - L_f)]$.

To summarize, we have shown that if M^3 is obtained by Dehn surgery along a certain link L (with n components) in S^3 with the preferred framing f , then the 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity partition function in the background Landau gauge is given by (up to some irrelevant normalization factors):

$$Z_{EQG}[M^3 = (S^3 - L_f) \cup_h L_f] = \sum_{(\alpha)} \tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}[(S^3 - L_f) \cup_h L_f], \quad (2.7)$$

where in particular

$$\sum_{(\alpha)} \tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}(S^3 - L_f) \simeq \prod_{(\alpha)} \Delta_{L(\alpha)}(t_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.8)$$

In the next section we shall show that the argument of the sum in (2.7) may be rewritten as the vacuum average of the *link operator* L in terms of the partition function provided by the Alexander polynomial Δ_L . This shall be a natural consequence of the fact that Δ_L *can be represented by a free fermionic Berezin-type path integral* and L by non-local composite free fermion operators.

It is also worth noticing in particular that if M^3 is *a-priori* a fixed hyperbolic three-manifold N^3 , then it is homeomorphic, by the ring homomorphism φ , to

the exterior of a knot K , *e.g.* to $S^3 - K_f$ if and only if K is not a satellite knot and a torus knot.^[15] Thus in that case, equation (2.7) becomes formally

$$Z_{EQG}(N^3) = \sum_{(\alpha)} \tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}[\delta(S^3 - K_f)] \simeq \prod_{(\alpha)} \delta^* \triangle_{K(\alpha)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where δ is the homomorphism $S^3 - K_f \rightarrow N^3$, and δ^* denotes the lift to $\tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}$ (and hence $\triangle_K$) of δ , *i.e.* formally: $\tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}[\delta(\cdot)] = \delta^* \tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}(\cdot)$. δ^* may be obtained from a matrix representation of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ canonically associated with the Heegaard decompositions of genus g for S^3 and N^3 (Ref. 13)^{*}.

A recent result by Kohno^[17] allows to define topological invariants $K(M^3)$ of closed orientable three-manifolds M^3 using the representations of $\mathcal{M}_g$ in such a way that $K(M^3)$ is an invariant under the Heegaard decomposition. As noticed discussing the fundamental theorem, any closed oriented 3-d manifold admits a Heegaard decomposition which, via Lickorish theorem^[13], is naturally in one-to-one correspondence with an element of the mapping class group of genus equal to the Heegaard genus. Khono's construction provides a projective linear representation of $\mathcal{M}_g$

$$\Phi_k : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow GL(Z_k(\Gamma)) / \sim, \quad (2.10)$$

where k is a positive integer labelling representations, and Z_k is a finite dimensional complex vector space, each element of which is in one-to-one correspondence (via Khono's $k+1$ admissible weights) with the edges of the dual graph Γ , which is a trivalent graph associated with the *pants* decomposition of the

^{*} Let us recall that under (any) framing $K_i \in L$ becomes a solid torus $T_i \equiv K_{f_i}$, $\partial T_i \neq \emptyset$, and that the set of all homomorphisms, up to isotopies, of a surface is defined as the mapping class group of that surface.^[16] Thus, the proof that $\delta \in \mathcal{M}_g$ follows directly from Thurston construction (Ref. 15) of hyperbolic three-manifolds N^3 as $N^3 = N'^3 - (T'_1, \dots, T'_r)$, where N'^3 is hyperbolic and the T_i 's are disjoint solid tori obtained by framing a suitable link L' with r components K_i and by the so called Lickorish twist theorem (Ref. 13). In fact one may choose Heegaard decompositions of the same genus for S^3 and N^3 , *i.e.* $S^3 = H_1 \cup_g H_2$, $N'^3 = H'_1 \cup_{g'} H'_2$, where $g : \partial H_2 \rightarrow \partial H_1$ and $g' : \partial H'_2 \rightarrow \partial H'_1$. Here we assume that $\partial N'^3 = \emptyset$. Since all handlebodies of a given genus are homeomorphic, choose any homeomorphism $h : H_1 \rightarrow H'_1$. It follows, as a consequence of Lickorish twist theorem, that the homeomorphism $f \equiv (g')^{-1} h g : \partial H_2 \rightarrow \partial H'_2$ belonging to the genus- g mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ extends to a homeomorphism $\bar{f} : H_2 - (T_1, \dots, T_r) \rightarrow H'_2 - (T'_1, \dots, T'_r)$. This extends the chosen $h : H_1 \rightarrow H'_1$ to a homeomorphism $\delta \equiv (h, \bar{f}) : S^3 - (T_1, \dots, T_r) = S^3 - L_f \rightarrow N'^3 - (T'_1, \dots, T'_r) = N'^3 - L'_f = N^3$. So, $\delta : S^3 - L_f \rightarrow N$ carries an action of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$.

Heegaard surface Σ_g . $\sim$ denotes equivalence with respect to a cyclic group implying only phase factors. $K(M^3)$ is, up to a normalization factor, the *trace* of Φ meant as the 00 entry of the matrix $\Phi_k(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{M}_g$ being the Heegaard glueing homeomorphism, with respect to the basis of Z_k .

The problem we are faced with here, on the other hand, is the *construction of the representations of the genus g mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ starting from a Dehn surgery presentation for M^3* , for the following two reasons:

- i) the euclidean 3-d quantum gravity partition function (2.7) is a topological invariant by way of the Reidemeister torsion of the 3-manifold M^3 , given by a Dehn surgery presentation, and it is therefore interesting to investigate the relation between such an invariant and Kohno's $K(M^3)$;
- ii) in view of the features of the 3-d Ising model, whose partition function is based on the whole set of irreducible representations of the mapping class group of Σ_g (in turn presented in terms of Dehn twists), and of the expected equivalence between the two partition functions, we have to express Dehn's surgery invariants in terms of Heegaard invariants.

In other words, the problem is understanding the connection existing between $K(M^3)$ and the topological invariants $I(M^3)$ of the three-manifolds M^3 obtained^[18] by performing Dehn surgery on a framed link.

We sketch here a procedure to obtain representations of the mapping class group from the Dehn surgery prescription, due to Kohno.^[19] The data is a set of trivalent graphs γ_i (3-holed spheres) and a link L_0 such that $L_0 \cup \gamma_i$ is a link $L \in S^3$. At this point one has two options: either performing surgery on the link $L = (L_0, \gamma_i)$ in S^3 with a choice of framing (*e.g.* the *preferred framing* discussed below Eq. (2.5)) thus obtaining a three-manifold M^3 as shown above, or, equivalently, regarding the trinions γ_i as the complementary space of the so-called *pants decomposition* of a Riemann surface Σ_g . In other words, the γ_i 's with, say, $i = 1, \dots, n$, characterize a Riemann surface of genus $g = \frac{1}{2}(n + 2)$. In this second case, the three-manifold M^3 is obtained by glueing, with a homeomorphism f , the cylinder $\Sigma_g \times I$ with another copy of Σ_g (the link L_0 is inside the cylinder). Then it turns out that f , called the *cylinder map*, belongs to the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ of Σ_g .[◇] Two comments are now in order:

◇ This construction of $\mathcal{M}_g$ representations may be understood also in terms of the so-called

- a) f provides a representation of the Heegaard decomposition;
- b) whereas the process leading from the Heegaard decomposition to the Dehn surgery (and to the mapping class group representations) is one-to-one (naturally up to Heegaard equivalence), the inverse construction leading from Dehn surgery to Heegaard decomposition (and once more to a representation of $\mathcal{M}_g$) is not necessarily one-to-one.

In other words, the surgery link L depends on f , whereas f in general does not depend on L alone.

We argue that the two invariants derived one within the Dehn surgery scheme, the other in the Heegaard decomposition, should be related. To begin with, we recall that Cappell-Lee-Miller^[21] have recently shown, in the frame of a conformal field theory approach to problem of topological invariants of a 3-manifold, that the above invariants $K(M^3)$ and $I(M^3)$ are the same up to a phase factor. In our specific case, if we take $I(M^3) \equiv Z_{EQG}(M^3)$, where $Z_{EQG}(M^3)$ is given by Eq. (2.7), and recall that $Z_{EQG}(M^3)$ is also equal to the Reidemeister torsion $\tau(M^3)$, Eq. (2.3), the equivalence with Kohno's invariant $K(M^3)$ follows immediately from the fact that the Reidemeister torsion can distinguish homotopy equivalent spaces^[22] just like Kohno's invariant $K(M^3)$. For example, the invariant $K(M^3)$ can distinguish the Lens spaces $L(7, 1)$ and $L(7, 2)$, which are not homeomorphic three-manifolds with the same homotopy, like $\tau(M^3)$ ^[23]. Of course, the equivalence between $K(M^3)$ and $Z_{EQG}(M^3) \equiv \tau(M^3)$, is up to a suitable irrelevant phase factor which, in the physical picture of $Z_{EQG}(M^3)$ as a path integral (see

plat representation of a link.^[20] Namely, if L denotes the link carrying the Dehn surgery before the framing, we may represent it by a $(2g + 2)$ -plat. Recall that a $(2g + 2)$ -plat representation of the above link L in S^3 is a triad (S^3, Σ_0, L) where (S^3, Σ_0) is a Heegaard splitting of genus zero of S^3 which separates S^3 into 3-balls $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ so that $B^{(i)} \cap L$ is a collection of $g + 1$ unknotted and unlinked arcs with $\partial(B^{(i)} \cap L)$ a set of $2g + 2$ points on $\Sigma_0 \equiv \partial B^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. The topological type of the triad (S^3, Σ_0, L) is fully described by a Heegaard sewing map φ which is required to preserve the $2g + 2$ points in $\partial(B^{(i)} \cap L)$; hence, up to isotopy, it is an element of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{0, 2g+2} \sim B_{2g+2}$ (B_n is Artin's n -strings braid group) of the $(2g + 2)$ -punctured sphere. Here, $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ stands for the mapping class group for an n -punctured genus g Riemann surface $\Sigma_{g, n}$. Then, as it is well known (Ref. 20), if σ_i is the standard braid generator of B_{2g+2} which interchanges the i -th and the $(i + 1)$ -th points of $\partial(B^{(1)} \cap L)$, σ_i lifts to the Dehn twist τ_{C_i} ($i = 1, \dots, 2g + 1$) along the non-contractible circle C_i decomposing the Heegaard surface Σ_g in a standard way. We may visualize Σ_g as the 2-fold covering of the sphere Σ_0 branched over $\partial(B^{(i)} \cap L)$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_g$ is *minimally* generated by a homomorphic image of B_{2g+2} and one further element (Ref. 16).

next section), can be always reabsorbed into the functional measure.

Furthermore, the above problem can be seen in the ampler framework of distinguishing between homology and homotopy equivalence of manifolds in 3-d. Two 3-manifolds, say M and N , are said to be *simple homotopy* equivalent if their CW complexes can be obtained one from the other by a CW deformation (namely adding a finite sequence of cells). The question whether homotopy equivalence implies simple homotopy equivalence was answered by Whitehead^[24] by a theorem stating that the obstruction to such implication is just the non-vanishing of the Whitehead torsion, of which the Reidemeister torsion is a representation.

As both the Kohno connection and our invariant (2.7) are simple homotopy invariants, yet not homotopy invariants, the above arguments, together with the results of Turaev and Viro^[25] who succeed in connecting the invariant constructed from the $q-6j$ symbols of the quantum group $U_q(s\ell 2)$ (which has the same semiclassical limit as our EQG partition function) to the Kohno invariant, we conjecture, and shall henceforth assume, the equivalence of $I(M^3)$ and $K(M^3)$.

3. Free Fermions and the 3D-Euclidean Quantum Gravity Partition Function

The mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ of an orientable 2-manifold Σ_g of genus g is defined as the group of path components (*i.e.* modulo isotopy) of the group of all orientation preserving homeomorphisms of Σ_g . Baer-Nielsen's theorems gives us the equivalent definition : the mapping class group of a surface is isomorphic to the outer automorphism group of its fundamental group.

It is interesting to recall here a few basic facts about the representations of $\mathcal{M}_g$. First, one fixes the cut system $\mathcal{C}_0 \equiv \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g\}$, namely a collection of disjoint circles on Σ_g such that $\Sigma_g \setminus \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^g \alpha_i \right]$ is a connected manifold, isomorphic with a $2g$ -punctured sphere. The simplest choice is α_1 goes once around the first handle, α_i , $2 = 1, \dots, g$ goes once around the g -th handle separating the $(i-1)$ -th from the i -th hole. One defines then the new family of closed simple curves on Σ_g , $\{\omega_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq 2g\}$: $\omega_{i,j}$ interlaces handles i and j [more precisely, $\omega_{i,j}$ enters hole i , goes around handle i , comes out of hole $(i-1)$, enters

hole j , goes around handle j , comes out of hole $(j - 1)$ and closes]. Denote by $\mathcal{W}_{i,j}$ the Dehn's twist with respect to $\omega_{i,j}$. One defines moreover the following new homeomorphisms of Σ_g : $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{A}_g \mathcal{B}_g \mathcal{A}_g$, which is a simple move permuting α_g and β_g ; $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{B}_g \mathcal{A}_g \mathcal{A}_g \mathcal{B}_g$, which reverses the orientation of α_g , and $\mathcal{T}_i := \mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \mathcal{B}_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, g - 1$ which permutes the circles α_i and α_{i+1} .

The mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$ is generated by $\{\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, \dots, g; \mathcal{T}_j, j = 1, \dots, g - 1; \mathcal{W}_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq g\}$.

Let now $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the stabilizer subgroup, generated by $\{\mathcal{A}_i; \mathcal{W}_{i,j}\}$, of elements of $\mathcal{M}_g$ which leave the circles $\{\alpha_i\}$ fixed ; and $\mathcal{H}$ the subgroup, generated by $\{\mathcal{H}_0; \mathcal{L}; \mathcal{T}_i\}$, of elements which leave the cut system $\mathcal{C}_0$ invariant . $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by the exact sequences :

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \pm S_g \longrightarrow 1 \quad ;$$

$$1 \longrightarrow [\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]^g \longrightarrow \pm S_g \longrightarrow S_g \longrightarrow 1 \quad ;$$

where $\vartheta(\mathcal{L}) \in [\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]^g$ and $\vartheta(\mathcal{T}_i)$ is the transposition $(i, i + 1)$ in the symmetric group S_g .

All the relations of $\mathcal{M}_g$ are generated by $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}\}$:

- (I) $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with $\mathcal{H}_g$ (the subgroup of elements of $\mathcal{H}$ which leave α_g and β_g invariant) ;
- (II) $\mathcal{P}^2 \equiv \mathcal{A}_g \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A}_g \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (III) $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{H}$ whenever $\exists$:
 - (1). a circle γ on Σ_g which intersects once transversally both α_g and β_g and does not intersect any other $\alpha_i, i \neq g$, and
 - (2). a map $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $[\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}]^{-1} \gamma \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} = \beta_g$; $[\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}]^{-1} \beta_g \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} = \alpha_g$; $[\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}]^{-1} \alpha_g \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} = \gamma$.
- (IV) $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{P} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{H}$ maps the simple closed curve $\tilde{\beta}$ encircling holes $(g - 1)$ and g onto β_g .
- (V) $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_1 \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_3 \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_4 \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{H}$ whenever $\exists$:
 - (1). a circle δ on Σ_g which intersects once transversally both α_{g-1} and β_g and does not intersect β_{g-1} nor any other $\alpha_i, i \neq g - 1$, and

- (2). the maps $\mathcal{F}_j \in \mathcal{H}; j = 1, \dots, 4$ satisfy – upon defining $\mathcal{E}_{(0)} := \mathbb{I}; \mathcal{E}_{(n)} := \mathcal{E}_{(n-1)}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}_n; n = 1, \dots, 4$ (in terms of which the element of $\mathcal{H}$ we are considering reads $\mathcal{E}_{(4)}\mathcal{P}$) – the four relations : $\mathcal{E}_{(n)}\beta_{g-1}\mathcal{E}_{(n)}^{-1} = \beta_g; n = 1, \dots, 4$. When Σ_g has no punctures the isotropy subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ is included in the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus B_{2g-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \pm S_g \longrightarrow 1 ;$$

where B_{2g-1} is the *Artin coloured braid group* over $(2g - 1)$ strings, whereas $\pm S_g$, the group of signed permutations of g objects, isomorphic with the group of $g \times g$ matrices having just one non-zero entry, equal to ± 1 , in each row and column.

The above presentation allows deriving information about faithful representations of $\mathcal{M}_g$ ^{[26] [27]} For $g = 1$, $\mathcal{M}_g \sim SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the *classical* (as opposed to the *Teichmüller* or *many-handled*) Modular Group. The related moduli space is a space whose points correspond to conformal isomorphism classes of tori. For arbitrary $g > 1$, upon denoting by $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g)$ the set of isotopy classes of all the closed (non oriented) curves embedded in Σ_g , and by Φ_g any foliation whose leaves are geodesics for some metric on Σ_g (since Σ_g has negative Euler characteristics, the metric is hyperbolic), with transverse measure $\mu_\perp$, we have the following results. $\mu_\perp(\bullet)$, which is a positive real function assigning to each arc $\sigma \in \Sigma_g$ transverse to the leaves of Φ_g and with extremal points in $\Sigma_g \setminus \Phi_g$ an invariant weight, is determined by the conditions :

- (a). $\mu_\perp(\sigma) = \mu_\perp(\sigma')$ if σ is homotopic to σ' through arcs transverse to Φ_g and with endpoints in $\Sigma_g \setminus \Phi_g$;
- (b). if $\sigma = \cup_i \sigma_i$; with $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \subset \partial \sigma_i \cap \partial \sigma_j$; then $\mu_\perp(\sigma) = \sum_i \mu_\perp(\sigma_i)$;
- (c). $\mu_\perp(\sigma) \neq 0$ if $\sigma \cap \Phi_g \neq \emptyset$.

The collection of all these measured geodesic foliations constitutes a space Ξ_g on which $\mathcal{M}_g$ acts in a natural way. In particular, in this (faithful) representation, the elements $m \in \mathcal{M}_g$ are classified according to the following scheme : m is said to be

<i>periodic</i>	, if it is of finite order in $\mathcal{M}_g$;
<i>reducible</i>	, if there is a point in $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g)$ which is invariant with respect to the element m itself ;

pseudo-Anosov , if $\exists$ mutually transverse geodesic foliations $\Phi_g^{(s)}, \Phi_g^{(u)} \in \Xi_g$ (s stands for *stable* , u for *unstable*) , such that $m(\Phi_g^{(s)}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Phi_g^{(s)}$ and $m(\Phi_g^{(u)}) = \varepsilon\Phi_g^{(u)}$ for some real $\varepsilon > 1$.

In order to derive a faithful representation from our finite presentation, one should first prove that no normal subgroup $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ of $\mathcal{M}_g$ can have all of its elements $\neq \mathbb{I}$ which are pseudo-Anosov, because only in this case one can identify an homeomorphism $m_o \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ fixing some $\iota \in \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_g)$ and then proceed in the construction of an *induced* faithful representation of $\mathcal{M}_g$ as a group of matrices (possibly with entries in a field of characteristics $\neq 0$ or of anticommuting variables) .

For example, let π be a path on Σ_g which crosses the curve α_i at a finite number ℓ of points $\{p_1^{(i)}, \dots, p_\ell^{(i)}\}$. When we act on Σ_g with $\mathcal{A}_i$, the effect on π is that it is broken at each point $p_k^{(i)}$ and a copy of α_i is inserted at the discontinuity in such a way as to coalesce (also in orientation) with the adjacent fragments of π . Resorting to the property that on any compact surface such as Σ_g there exists at least a pair of essential simple closed curves, say γ, γ' , which *fill* the surface but such that one can find another essential closed curve $\tilde{\gamma}'$, disjoint from γ' , such that $\gamma \cup \tilde{\gamma}'$ does *not* fill the surface, one can show (Ref. 26) that $\mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_{\gamma'}^{-1}$ is isotopic to a pseudo-Anosov map^[28] . Then $\gamma'' \equiv \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_{\gamma'}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}'$ is a curve disjoint from any essential simple curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ having no intersections with $\gamma \cup \tilde{\gamma}'$. Thus there exists a map

$$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\gamma}'}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_{\gamma'}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\gamma}'} \mathcal{D}_{\gamma'} \mathcal{D}_\gamma^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\gamma}'}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{\gamma''}$$

which fixes $\tilde{\gamma}$ and hence is *not* pseudo-Anosov.

Considering the action of $\mathcal{M}_g$ on the projective space Ξ_g of measured geodesic foliations , Dehn's twists should be treated as maps with parabolic action, since they are locally conjugate to the element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, recalling the presentation of the fundamental group,

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \sim < \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_g, \mathcal{B}_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i] >$$

where $\{\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i \mid 1 = 1, \dots, g\}$ are assumed as a canonical basis for the first homology group $H_1(\Sigma_g)$, and noticing that its elements which act parabolically on

the hyperbolic projective space are only those which may be freely homotoped into cusps and that just these elements are non-Anosov, all that remains to be done is to check – by using the presentation – whether $\mathcal{M}_g$ has a geometrically finite subgroup $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ on which it acts by conjugation. Then, *unless* the normal closure in $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ of the elements of the action of $\mathcal{M}_g$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$ excludes all the cusp generators, not all of its elements $\neq \mathbb{I}$ are pseudo-Anosov.

It is worth pointing out that this conclusion holds for $g \geq 2$, when $\mathcal{M}_g$ there is a set of elementary homeomorphisms equivalent to *global braids*. The corresponding matrix representation, when it exists, is that induced from the *monodromy* representation associated with the *Lefschetz fibration*^[29] of Σ_g .

The approach to the Ising model which so far appeared to be the most promising for extension to the $d = 3$ case is that referred to as the *Pfaffian* (or *dimer*) method, whose formulation holds – to a certain extent – for any number d of dimensions. We briefly review here the formulation of such a method that was proposed in Ref. 21^[30] as a possible candidate to attack some three-dimensional cases. It holds when Λ is homogeneous under some finitely presented (not necessarily finite) group G , and consists of a number of steps :

- [a] the *decorated* lattice Λ_δ is derived from Λ following Fisher's scheme^[31] ;
- [b] the positional degrees of freedom in Λ_δ are relabelled in terms of a set of anticommuting *Grassmann* variables η_{g_ℓ} , in one-to-one correspondence with the group elements g_ℓ of G ;
- [c] the group G is extended to the group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in such a way that all the bond orientations of Λ_δ compatible with the combinatorial constraints imposed by the global generalization of the *Kasteleyn's theorem*^{*} to a non-planar

★ See Ref. 30 for a complete discussion of this delicate issue. Intuitively, what is done in this approach is that a generalized Kasteleyn's theorem is obtained by first extending the planar case to the 2^{2g} covering of one of the surfaces, say Σ_g , in which the lattice Λ_δ is embedded, and by recovering then the non planar case by summing over all possible boundary conditions (spinwise) for the polygon whereby by suitable sides identifications Σ_g – which can of course be thought of as a Riemann surface – is obtained. Such sum over all possible choices of the boundary conditions is included in natural way in the configuration sum giving the model partition function. Successively, that sum is shown to be equivalent to summing over all possible ways of embedding Λ_δ in a surface of genus g , and the latter in turn to be identical to a sum over all the images of Σ_g with respect to the mapping class group, i.e. essentially with respect to all PL diffeomorphisms modulo isotopy of σ_g itself. This identifies the partition function with the zeta function for the (infinite dimensional) set

case (*i.e.* to one in which the lattice Λ cannot be embedded into a surface of genus zero, but can – yet preserving the lattice coordination – be embedded into one, say Σ_g of genus $g \geq 0$) and only those can be obtained as the invariant (under $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$) set of configurations of the graph Γ covering Λ_δ 2^{2g} times.

[d] The partition function of the model on Λ is then given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^d \{\cosh(K_\alpha)\}^{N_\alpha} \text{Pf } \tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \quad ; \quad \prod_{\alpha=1}^d N_\alpha = N \quad ; \quad (3.1)$$

where $K_\alpha = \frac{J_\alpha}{k_B T}$ is the coupling constant of the model in direction α , and N the total number of sites of Λ . $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ is the incidence matrix of Λ_δ , extended with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and Pf denotes the Pfaffian (for a skew-symmetric matrix such as $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$, $\text{Pf} \equiv \sqrt{\det}$).

[e] If both G and g are finite, then $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is finite, and recalling that the regular representation $\mathcal{R}$ of a finite group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is the direct sum of its irreducible representations, labelled by an index j , each contained as many times as its dimension $\dim j$, (3.1) reduces in a natural way to :

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^d \{\cosh(K_\alpha)\}^{N_\alpha} \prod_{j^{(F)}} \left(\det \mathcal{R}[\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}^{(j^{(F)})}] \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \dim j^{(F)}} \quad ; \quad (3.2)$$

where the extra-index F refers to *Fermionic* representations, as required by the generalized Kasteleyn's theorem, and $\mathfrak{S}^{(j)}$ is a matrix of rank j .

of flows induced by the diffeomorphisms. Finally, the same partition function is expressed as a product of (theta regularized) determinants, closely reminiscent of Dirichlet-type zeta functions. These, resorting to Fried's definition^[32] D. Fried, *Counting Circles*, in *Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag Lect. Notes Math. **1342**, 196 (1988) can then be treated as the formal dynamical zeta function associated with a flow at zero value of its indeterminate. It has been recently proven by Moscovici and Stanton^[33] that this zeta function coincides with the R -torsion, with coefficients in any flat acyclic bundle, for Σ_g . There is a complete consistency between such result, and the similarity pointed out by Milnor^[34] between the algebraic formalism of R -torsion in topology and zeta functions in the sense of Weil in dynamical systems. Also, the result perfectly bridges the approach to the Ising model of 30 and the present approach to quantum gravity, with the identity exhibited by Ray and Singer in the second of refs. 8 expressing the holomorphic analog of R -torsion for surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$ in terms of classical Selberg zeta functions, whence the conjecture of equality between R -torsion and analytic torsion, subsequently proven by Cheeger and Müller 9 was derived.

Let us recall first that the group $\mathcal{G}$ is called the *extension* of the group G by the group Π if : having G presentation $G \approx \langle \Xi | \Omega \rangle$, where Ξ denotes the set of *generators* and Ω the set of *relations* , and similarly having Π presentation $\Pi \approx \langle \Upsilon | \Theta \rangle$; we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Pi \longrightarrow 1 \quad ; \quad (3.3)$$

and – upon denoting by φ a mapping which is the inverse of the inclusion ι ; $\varphi : \Pi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $\pi \circ \varphi = \mathbf{1}_\Pi$; and by $\Upsilon^{(\varphi)} \sim \varphi(\Upsilon)$ the restriction of the relations of Π to $\mathcal{G}$ – $\mathcal{G}$ has presentation :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} \approx \langle \Xi \cup \Upsilon^{(\varphi)} | \Omega \cup \{ \varphi^{-1}(v) \xi \varphi(v) \lambda_v^{-1}(\xi) : \xi \in \Xi ; v \in \Upsilon \} \cup \\ \cup \{ \mathcal{W}_\vartheta(\xi) \vartheta(\varphi(v)) : \vartheta \in \Theta \} \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\lambda_\varpi : G \rightarrow G$ is the automorphism of G induced by the action of the element $\varpi \in \Pi$ on G : $g_\ell \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\varpi) g_\ell \varphi(\varpi)$; and $\mathcal{W}_\vartheta$ is some suitable word (one is to be selected for each $\vartheta \in \Theta$) bringing each element of $\mathcal{G}$ into the form $\mathcal{W}(\xi) \cdot \gamma(\varphi(v))$ for some $\gamma \in \iota(G)$.

Of course, each automorphism λ_ϖ can be altered by an inner automorphism of G with no essential effect. If we factor out the group of inner automorphisms we obtain a new mapping $\kappa : \Pi \rightarrow \text{Out } G = \text{Aut } G / \text{Inn } G$ which is a homomorphism and is basic for the extension, in that equivalent extensions define the same homomorphism. The triple $\{\Pi, G, \kappa\}$ is called an *abstract kernel*^[35] , and a group $\mathcal{G}$ together with the exact sequence (3.3) is called an extension with respect to the abstract kernel if for $\gamma \in \pi^{-1}(\varpi)$, $\varpi \in \Pi$, the automorphism of G defined by $g_\ell \mapsto \iota^{-1}[\gamma^{-1} \iota(g_\ell) \gamma]$ belongs to the equivalence class of $\kappa(\varpi)$.

The cases of physical interest are those in which G is a *Fuchsian* group and Σ_g is a *factor surface* of G . The center $\aleph$ of G can therefore be considered as a Π -*module* with an operation in the equivalence class of $\kappa(\varpi)$, $\varpi \in \Pi$, if Π is identified with the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. Considering now the family of *cohomology* groups $H^n(\Pi, G)$, $n \geq 1$ of Π with coefficients in G (*i.e.* the cohomology groups of the *cochain complexes* defined by $\{\mathcal{C}^n(\Pi, G), \partial^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

where $\partial^n : \mathcal{C}^n(\Pi, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(\Pi, G)$ is the *boundary operator* and $\mathcal{C}^n$ is an n -dimensional cochain[★]), one notices that $\mathcal{C}^3(\Pi, \mathbb{K})$ – upon regarding $\mathcal{C}^n(\Pi, \mathbb{K})$ as an abelian group whose operation we write multiplicatively – is zero (one says that there is a trivial *obstruction*). The theorem of Zieschang (Ref. 35) states then the extension $\mathcal{G}$ of the abstract kernel $\{\Pi, G, \kappa\}$ exists, and that $\mathcal{G}$ is a proper subgroup of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g$.

Thus the homeomorphism $Ext : G \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ required in $[c]^\diamond$ acts locally by attaching a Kasteleyn's phase to the circuits on Σ_g homotopic to zero, and globally by an extension by the fundamental group, *i.e.* mapping $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. On the other hand, all possible surfaces in which Λ_δ can be embedded are equivalent from the combinatorial point of view, and we can restrict to one *e.g.* by fixing a cut system on Σ_g . Moreover, as stated above, the relations of the mapping class group all follow from relations supported in certain subsurfaces of Σ_g finite in number and of genus at most 2. There follows (Ref. 30) that the most general choice for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathfrak{K} \bigotimes_{wr} S_{2g} ; \quad (3.5)$$

where $\bigotimes_{wr}$ denotes the *wreath-product*^[37], whose elements can be taken to be all $2g \times 2g$ permutation matrices in which the non- zero elements have been replaced by elements of $\mathfrak{K}$; whereas $\mathfrak{K} = \mathcal{M}_g / \mathcal{H}$, namely the subgroup of diffeomorphisms of Σ_g which preserve the isotopy class of a maximal, unordered, non separating system of g disjoint, smoothly embedded cycles (non contractible and non isotopic), *e.g.* just the cut system $\{\alpha_i ; i = 1, \dots, g\}$. $\mathfrak{K}$ is then essentially generated by the elements representing homology exchange between any pair of circles $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) ; i, j = 1, \dots, g$.

Eq's (3.2) and (3.5) allow us now to write the *free energy* $\mathcal{F} \equiv -\kappa_B T \ln \mathcal{Z}$ as

$$-\beta \mathcal{F} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^d N_\alpha \ln \cosh(\beta J_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j^{(F)}} \dim j^{(F)} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\ln \mathcal{R} \left[\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}^{(j^{(F)})} \right] \right) ; \quad (3.6)$$

★ Recall that $\mathcal{C}^n(\Pi, G)$, $n \geq 1$ is the group of all functions $f : \Pi^n \equiv \overbrace{\Pi \times \dots \times \Pi}^{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow G$ such that $f(\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n) = 0$ if some ϖ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ equals $\mathbf{1}$.

◇ It should be kept in mind that maps and spaces are to be thought of in the *PL* (*piecewise-linear*) category, namely all morphisms referred to in present discussion should be meant in the corresponding definition as given in Ref. 24^[36].

from which it appears clearly that while $\mathcal{Z}$ can be expanded in terms of *characters* of $\mathfrak{R}$, $\mathcal{F}$, as given by the latter equation, could be rewritten in terms of invariant symmetric functions for $\mathfrak{R}$. The coefficients of such an invariant expansion retain some of the original combinatorial flavour of the problem : they count the numbers of *words* in $\mathfrak{R}$ equivalent to the identity, *i.e.* provide a solution for the Dehn's word problem for the subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{M}_g$.

An *unexpected bridge* between $Z_{EQG}(N^3)$ as given in (2.9), and $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$ as given in (3.1), can be cast by the following argument. Recalling the representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$ as grassmannian path integral, as given by Itzykson^[38], we consider for simplicity the particular case in which M^3 is obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot K in S^3 . It follows, that the associated Eq. (2.7) is a special form of the generalized surgery formula for a non-Abelian 3D-Euclidean Chern-Simons gauge theory defined over a generic three-manifold $\tilde{M}^3$ suggested by Witten.^[39] Witten, in Ref. 39, argues that:

$$\begin{cases} Z[\tilde{M}^3] = \sum_j h_0^j Z[M^3; R_j] \\ Z[M^3; R_j] \equiv \langle W_{R_j}(K) \rangle_{M^3} \end{cases}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\tilde{M}^3 = M^3 \cup_h K_f$, h is the glueing homeomorphism on the solid torus K_f and $Z[M^3; R_j]$ is the CS-partition function of M^3 with an extra Wilson line $W_{R_j}(K)$ in the R_j representation (of the CS-gauge group $\mathcal{G}$) included on the knot K . When the CS-coupling k is an integer, using the techniques of rational conformal field theories (see *e.g.* Ref. 39), one could show that R_j is a finite-dimensional modulus of the representation ring of $\mathcal{G}$ with $j < \infty$. Then it turns out that the knot diagram D_K parametrized by R_j has a nice (equivalent) interpretation^[40] in terms of the so-called “r-parallel version” $C * D_K$ of D_K . That is, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we associate a non-negative integer $C(j)$, called the “colouring” of D_K , from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $C(j) * D_K$ be the diagram which can be formed by taking $C(j)$ -copies all parallel, in the plane, to D_K . In this picture Eq. (3.7) becomes:

$$Z[M^3; R_j] = \langle W_{R_j}(K) \rangle_{M^3} = \langle W_{\mathcal{R}}[C(j) * D_K] \rangle_{M^3} \equiv \langle C(j) * D_K \rangle_{M^3}, \quad (3.8)$$

the symbol $W_{\mathcal{R}}$ denoting the Wilson line in the fundamental representation $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Similarly, one finds that the coefficients $h_j \equiv h_0^j$ (in general complex

numbers) can be written as $h_j = h_{C^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \equiv \lambda_c$ by definition of the colouring map C . Therefore, one can also write Eq. (3.7) as (remind that K denotes a knot):

$$Z[\tilde{M}^3] = \sum_{c \in C} \lambda_c \langle c * D_K \rangle_{M^3}. \quad (3.9)$$

Eq. (3.9) has recently been rigorously stated by Lickorish (Ref. 18) in the case of the one-variable Jones polynomial for $\langle c * D_K \rangle_{M^3}$ if $M^3 = S^3$ and $\mathcal{G} = su(2)$.

It is now immediate to notice that the partition function (2.7) of the 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity has the form (3.9) with $\tilde{M}^3 = (S^3 - K_f) \cup_h K_f$ and $M^3 = S^3 - K_f$, if one sets

$$\langle \dots \rangle_{(S^3 - K_f)} \simeq \sum_{(\alpha)} \tau_{\varphi(\alpha)}(S^3 - K_f) \simeq \prod_{(\alpha)} \Delta_K(t_{(\alpha)}) \equiv \prod_{(\alpha)} \Delta_{K(\alpha)}(t), \quad (3.10)$$

where we have used Eq. (2.8) and $t_{(\alpha)} \in H_{1(\alpha)}(S^3 - K_f) \equiv \frac{\rho_{(\alpha)}[\pi_1(S^3 - K_f)]}{[*,*]}$, and one regards $C * D_K$ as an extra “field” on which to compute the vacuum-to-vacuum expectation value given by the “partition function” $\prod_{(\alpha)} \Delta_{K(\alpha)}$. Now, such an identification of $\Delta_{K(\alpha)}$ with a certain path integral for each (α) is just what one in fact has!

Indeed, Kauffman and Saleur^[41] have recently shown that the Alexander-Conway polynomial of a knot K is the fermionic path integral over free fermions propagating on the knot diagram D_K . Their basic idea is to describe the tangle diagram D_K as a planar Feynman graph Γ_K for a Gaussian fermionic theory. The Feynman graph is obtained by projection of the tangle diagram on a two-dimensional planar four-valent graph. To each crossing i of an oriented tangle diagram one associates four complex Grassmannian variables $\psi_i^\alpha, \psi_j^{\beta\dagger}$ where the labels $\alpha = \beta = \text{up } (u), \text{down } (d)$ refer to edges going up and down with respect to the direction of the crossing at the point i .

All ψ 's anticommute

$$[\psi_i^\alpha, \psi_j^\beta]_+ = 0; \quad \alpha, \beta = u \text{ or } d; \quad i \neq j$$

and in particular $(\psi_i^\alpha)^2 = 0$. The Berezin path integral is defined as usual by the

rule

$$\int \Pi_i d\psi_i^u d\psi_i^{u\dagger} d\psi_i^d d\psi_i^{d\dagger} \Pi_i \psi_i^u \psi_i^{u\dagger} \psi_i^d \psi_i^{d\dagger} = 1.$$

At Lagrangian level, if along the link (i, j) the edge is oriented from vertex i to vertex j , the propagator is $\psi_i^{\alpha\dagger} \psi_j^\beta$ with labels $\alpha, \beta = u$ or d depending on the particular configuration. For instance, the tangle D_K or equivalently the associated Feynman graph Γ_K , both shown in Fig. 1, correspond to the kinetic term $\psi_i^{u\dagger} \psi_j^d$. Thus, the Kauffman-Saleur's result is

KS-Theorem (Ref. 41): the Alexander-Conway polynomial $\nabla_K(q)^\star$ for a fixed knot K has the fermionic path integral representation[◇]

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_K(q) = \langle \psi_+ | \psi_- \rangle \equiv N(K; q) \int d\psi^\dagger d\psi \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ \alpha, \beta = u, d}} \psi_i^{\alpha\dagger} M_{\alpha, \beta}^{i,j}(K; q) \psi_j^\beta \right\}, \\ N(K; q) \equiv q^{-L(K) - I(K)} \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where $M = [M_{\alpha, \beta}^{i,j}(K; q)]$, which depends on the type of knot K selected, is a $[2 \times \#(\text{crossings})]^{\otimes 2}$ -matrix whose entries are ± 1 or certain rational functions of q . Furthermore, N is a normalization factor specified by the number of internal edges $I(K)$ (loops $L(K)$) of the Feynman graph Γ_K associated to D_K .

Since the usual Alexander polynomial $\triangle_K(t)$ is given by $\nabla_K(q)$ in terms of the formula (Ref. 42)

$$\triangle_K(t) = \nabla_K(q \equiv \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}), \quad (3.12)$$

it follows that the Gaussian Berezin path integral (3.11) extends also to $\triangle_K(t)$ and hence to $\tau_\varphi(S^3 - K_f)$ via Eq. (2.6). In our case we have a family of Alexan-

★ The Alexander-Conway polynomial for a knot is defined by the skein relation^[42]

$$\nabla_{K_+}(q) - \nabla_{K_-}(q) = q \nabla_{K_0}(q)$$

and by the normalization: $\nabla_K = 0$ for $K = (\text{unknot})$ and $\nabla_K = 1$ for $K = (\text{unknotted strand})$.

◇ As it is well known, the Berezin path integral in (3.11) gives the square of the Pfaffian $Pf(M) \equiv \sqrt{\text{Det}[M(K; q)]}$.

der polynomials $\Delta_{K(\alpha)}$, thus we shall have $\Delta_{K(\alpha)} \equiv \Delta_K(t_{(\alpha)}) = \langle \psi_+ | \psi_- \rangle_{(\alpha)} \propto \sqrt{Pf(M_{K(\alpha)})}$, where $M_{K(\alpha)}$ inherits the dependence on the labelling (α) by $t_{(\alpha)}$.

Collecting all together, when M^3 is obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot K in S^3 we have the formula:

$$Z_{EQG}[M^3 = (S^3 - K_f) \cup_h K_f] = \sum_{c \in C} \lambda_c \prod_{(\alpha)} \langle \psi_+ | [c * D_K] (\psi_i^\alpha \psi_j^{\beta\dagger}) | \psi_- \rangle_{(\alpha)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $h \ni h_j = h_{C^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \equiv \lambda_c$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $[c * D_K](\psi\psi^\dagger)$ denotes the operator associated to the c -parallel version of D_K in the Kauffman-Saleur fermionic representation. Clearly, following Ref. 41, we may identify $1 * D_K = D_K$ with the action. For instance, to the trefoil diagram $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ and to the associated Feynman graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$, shown in Fig. 2 corresponds the matrix element $\langle \psi_+ | (\psi^\dagger M(\mathcal{T}; t) \psi) | \psi_- \rangle$ where (Ref. 18)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\dagger M(\mathcal{T}; t) \psi = & \psi_1^{d\dagger} \psi_2^u + \psi_1^{u\dagger} \psi_2^d + \psi_2^{d\dagger} \psi_3^u + \psi_2^{u\dagger} \psi_3^d + \psi_3^{u\dagger} \psi_1^d + \\ & (\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}) \sum_{i=1}^3 (\psi_i^{u\dagger} \psi_i^u + \psi_i^{d\dagger} \psi_i^d) + (t + \frac{1}{t} - 3) \sum_{i=1}^3 \psi_i^{u\dagger} \psi_i^d. \end{aligned}$$

As we already observed, the c -parallel version of D_K is a link analogue of the technique used to characterize the representation ring of a Lie algebra by tensor products of the fundamental representation, rather than by the irreducibles. In our fermionic picture, this corresponds to using integer powers $(\psi^\dagger M_K \psi)^{l(c)}$, $l(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, of $\psi^\dagger M_K \psi$ to describe $c * D_K$. As a consequence of this description, the quantity under the product symbol in the r.h.s. of Eq. (3.13) becomes the Berezin path integral of a polynomial in $\psi_i^{\alpha\dagger} \psi_j^\beta$ and it may be interpreted as the Green's function obtained from $\int d\psi^\dagger d\psi \exp(\psi^\dagger M_K \psi)$.^{*}

It is not surprising at this point that there exist an intimate relationship between torsion invariants and partition function which can be formally interpreted as dynamical zeta functions and, on the one side, Gaussian fermionic (*i.e.* grassmannian) stochastic systems, on the other topological invariants. On one front

* Clearly, one has that:

$$\langle \psi_+ | (\psi^\dagger M_K \psi)^{l(c)} | \psi_- \rangle_{(\alpha)} \sim \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right)^{l(c)} \int d\psi^\dagger d\psi \exp(\beta \psi^\dagger M_{K(\alpha)} \psi) |_{\beta=1}.$$

we have representatives of anosov flows entering the partition function, whose relative weight heavily exceeds that of closed orbits of the discrete periodic set and hence emphasizes the stochastic features of the model. On the other side the same partition function, which describes the global dynamical (and/or thermodynamical) behaviour of the system can be viewed as the generating function of all closed loops (*i.e.* links with possibly knotted components) embedded in a Riemann surface Σ_g . The problem of characterizing the asymptotic images of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}}$ intersection between two sub-varieties $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ of a given manifold $\mathcal{W}$ under iteration of the group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{W}$ as, say, $\mathcal{X}$ is kept fixed ($\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{X} + \dim \mathcal{Y}$, $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}} = |\dim \mathcal{X} - \dim \mathcal{Y}|$), has been recently studied by V.I. Arno'ld^[43]. Under an ergodic hypothesis, well motivated physically, one expects that the equilibrium features of the model we are considering are indeed controlled just by these images (where one identifies obviously $\mathcal{X}$ with Σ_g , $\mathcal{Y}$ with the isotopy-equivalents of Σ_g itself, and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}}$ with the set of loops generated by intersection). The result of Arno'ld implies that it is just the set of topological invariants of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}}$ and it alone which completely characterizes the asymptotic action of the group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{W}$ (that in our correspondence can be thought of as the manifold of dimension $\dim \Sigma_g + 1$ (in general non Euclidean also in the Ising case, due to the choice of boundary conditions)).

A final comment is in order. The procedure described in Sect. 3, whereby we have essentially mapped the 3D Euclidean gravity to a free-fermion system over a lattice is an homeomorphism between the two theories. This is due to the conceptual passage through the Ising model, which allows us in principle to reconstruct from the lattice model the whole group of diffeomorphisms in 3D, $Diff^3$. The profound meaning of such a reconstruction can be understood in the following way: the 3D Euclidean quantum gravity partition function is clearly invariant with respect to $Diff^3$, as it essentially coincides with the Reidemeister torsion which is diffeomorphically invariant by its very construction. On the other hand, at the fermionic lattice model level one has (ref. 41) a *hidden quantum symmetry* $U_q[s\ell(1,1)]$, in other words, the link fermions $\psi_i^\alpha, \psi_j^{\beta\dagger}$ have a non-trivial statistics whose *dual* is the quantum group $U_q[s\ell(1,1)]$. The key notion here is then the following: the quantum group symmetry $U_q[g]$ of a lattice model appears on very short distances of the order of the lattice step; in the continuum

limit, it appears at a single point, valued in the group of the Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{g}$ associated with the Lie algebra g of some finite-dimensional Lie group G .[†]

Thus we can equivalently affirm that our Gaussian fermionic system has a gauge symmetry of type $G \approx SU(1, 1)$, since the corresponding Kac-Moody group acts just as a local gauge symmetry. It is known (ref. 1) that the action in the continuum theory of this local gauge A_1 symmetry on the first order fields $(e_\mu, \omega_\mu) \equiv A_\mu$ describing the 3D gravitational field $g_{\mu,\nu}$ is, on shell, equivalent to the to the action of $Diff^3$ on A_μ . This leads us to interpreting the presence of the group of diffeomorphisms in 3 dimensions in the continuum Einstein gravity theory as the manifestation of the *quantum* internal symmetry of the underlying lattice model (3.11).

4. Conclusions

Summarizing, we have shown that 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity in first order dreibein formalism and in the Landau gauge, when quantized on a generic three-manifold obtained by Dehn surgery along a knot K (link L) in S^3 , is equivalent to a Gaussian fermionic theory propagating on the c-parallel versions of the knot (link) diagram D_K (D_L). In particular we have shown in the Berezin path integral picture that the 3D-EQG partition function $Z(N^3)$ for a 3D-hyperbolic manifold N^3 is equivalent (up to some irrelevant normalization factor) to that one $Z(\Lambda)$ of a 3D-Ising model on a lattice Λ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Let us conclude with two remarks:

i) In the previous section we have shown that 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity admits a free fermion representation as well as the 3D-Ising model (Ref. 26) and that these two models seem in mutual relation. Furthermore, we have proved that for fixed tridimensional topologies the 3D-EQG partition function is given by a suitable Alexander-Conway polynomial, which can explicitly be computed by combinatorial or (Gaussian) path integral techniques. So, thanks to the aforementioned equivalence, we also have a computable algorithm for solving the quantum 3D-Ising model before performing the thermodynamic limit.

[†] This observation first due to Alekseev, Faddeev and Volkov^[44] in their study of the $WZNW$ -model, applies here as well, with $\hat{g} = sl(\hat{1}, 1)$.

Now, a related question is whether these are the only 3D-models which allow a free fermion description, or rather it is a general property of (integrable) models in 3D.

ii) We would like to notice that an indirect hint of the possible quantum connection between the 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity partition function and the semiclassical limit of a polynomial link invariant may be found – in view mainly of the recent work by Turaev – in the analysis performed by Ponzano and Regge.^[45] In Ref. 45 it was argued that when M^3 is “close” to S^3 , the path integral of the 3D-Euclidean quantum gravity in the simplicial approximation known as the Regge calculus^[46] is actually proportional to the semiclassical (large angular momentum) limit of the standard $su(2)$ $6j$ -symbol. We conjecture that the realization of the Regge Ponzano program of understanding the Feynman summation of histories for the lattice 3D euclidean Einstein-Hilbert action as a sort of state model associated with the Racah coefficients, can be fully completed at quantum level by our eq. (2.9).[‡]

Such a conjecture is based on the following facts:

- a) it is known that the standard $su(2)$ $6j$ -symbol is the ”semiclassical” limit ($q \rightarrow 1$) of the quantum $6j$ symbol

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{matrix} \right\}_q \equiv [D]_q \quad (4.1)$$

of the quantum group $U_q(sl(2; \mathbb{R}))$;

- b) an intrinsic combinatorial approach is known which allows to associate with the quantum $6j$ symbols of $U_q(sl(2; \mathbb{R}))$ the two-variable HOMFLY-polynomial $P_K(q, z)$ ^[49] ;
- c) the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ entering eq. (2.9), is a particular case of $P_K(q, z)$ when $q = 1$ ^[50] and $z = \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$.

[‡] Very recently,^{[47] [48]} Ooguri *et al.* and Mizoguchi *et al.* argued that the Turaev-Viro model provides indeed a q -analogue lattice regularization of the Ponzano-Regge model, where the cut-off is given by $\frac{1}{\ln q}$. In their approach the equivalence with the 3D Euclidean quantum gravity in the form (1.1) follows as continuum limit of the Turaev-Viro piecewise-linear model, equivalent to the limit $q \rightarrow 1$.

On the other hand, the possibility of constructing directly "quantum" invariants for a closed 3-manifold M from the q -6j symbols has been recently stressed by Turaev and Viro in Ref. 25, supporting once more our conjecture that the Regge Ponzano idea might be extended to full quantum level. Indeed we may recall that the Turaev-Viro 3-manifold invariant of M is given by

$$|M|_q \equiv C^{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\{Col\}}^{\leq \infty} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}} (-1)^i [2i+1]_q \prod_{\mathcal{T}} [D]_q \quad (4.2)$$

where q is a complex root of unit of a certain degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k \geq 0$, C is a constant, $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{T}$ denote respectively the numbers of vertices, edges, and the set of tetrahedra of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{X}$, $[n]_q$ is the quantum dimension, whereas Col is the map which associates with the edges of $\mathcal{X}$ elements of the set $\{Col\}$ of colours $\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}\right\}$ related in standard way to the framing map introduced in (2.5).

Notice that the construction of the invariant is associated with a specific triangulation of M ; however the main theorem of Ref. 25 show just that $|M|_q \in \mathbb{C}$ does not depend in fact on the choice of the triangulation $\mathcal{X}$, namely it is a *bona fide* topological invariant.

Moreover, Turaev and Viro show that

$$|M|_q = |I_k(M)|^2, \quad (4.3)$$

where $I_k(M)$ is the Dehn surgery invariant for M discussed in Sect. 2, and $q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k+2}\right)$.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge inspiring discussions with T. Kohno, L. Kauffman, and T. Regge.

References

1. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **311B** (1988/89) 46.
2. See *e.g.* D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, Publish or Perish, Inc., (Washington 1976).
3. A. R. Kavalov and A. G. Sedrakyan, Nucl. Phys. **285B** [FS19] (1987) 264 and references therein.
4. G. Bonacina, M. Martellini and M. Rasetti, work in progress.
5. D. J. Gross and A. A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127;
Nucl. Phys. **340B** (1990) 333;
E. Brézin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **236B** (1990) 144;
M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **235B** (1990) 635.
6. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **323B** (1989) 113
7. R. Seeley, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **10** (1966) 288.
8. D. B. Ray and I. Singer, Adv. Math. **7** (1971) 145;
Ann. Math. **98** (1973) 154.
9. J. Cheeger, Ann. Math. **109** (1979) 259;
W. Müller, Adv. Math. **28** (1978) 233
10. J. Milnor, Bull. Ann. Math. Soc. **72** (1966) 358
11. D. Fried, Invent. Math. **84** (1986) 523;
S. Della Pietra and V. Della Pietra, *Analytic Torsion and Finite Group Actions*, IAS Preprint (1989).
12. W. Alexander, Trans. A. M. S. **30** (1928) 275.
13. W. B. R. Lickorish, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962) 531.
14. J. Milnor, Ann. Math. **76** (1962) 137;
V. G. Turaev, Uspekhi Mat.Nauk. **41** (1986) 97.
15. W. P. Thurston, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **6** (1982) 357.
16. M. Rasetti, *The Mapping Class Group in Statistical Mechanics: a concise review*, in *Symmetries in Science 111*, Edited by B.Gruber and F.Iachello, Plenum Publ.Co., (1989) and references therein;
B. Wajnryb, Israel J. Math. **45** (1983) 157.

17. T. Kohno, *Topological Invariants of 3-Manifolds Using Representations of Mapping Class Groups I*, Nagoya preprint **6** (1990).
18. N. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991) 547;
W. B. R. Lickorish, *3-Manifolds and the Temperley-Lieb Algebra*, UCLA preprint (1990).
19. T. Kohno, private communication.
20. J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Group*, Ann. Math. Studies **82**, PUP (1975).
21. S. E. Cappell, R. Lee and E. Y. Miller, *Invariants of 3-Manifolds from Conformal Field Theory*, Courant Institute preprint (1990).
22. M. Cohen, *A Course in Simple Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1973).
23. B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko, and S.P. Novikov, *Modern Geometry – Methods and Applications*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1990).
24. J.H.C. Whitehead, *Amer. J. Math.* **72**, 1 (1952)
25. V.G. Turaev, and O.Y. Viro, *State Sum Invariants of 3-manifolds and Quantum 6j Symbols*, LOMI preprint E-4-91
26. A. Montorsi and M. Rasetti, *The mapping Class Group : Homology and Linearity*, in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, H. D. Döbner and T. D. Palev, eds.; World Scientific Publ. Co.; Singapore, 1988.
27. N. V. Ivanov, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **42**:3, 49 (1987).
28. A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach and V. Poenaru, *Astérisque*, **66- 67**, 33 (1979)
29. R. Mandelbaum and J. R. Harper, *Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc.* **2**, 35 (1982).
30. M. Rasetti, *Ising Model on Finitely Presented Groups*, in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, M. Serdaroglu and E. İnönü eds.; Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Physics **180**; Berlin, 1983
plus references therein and in
G. Jacucci and M. Rasetti, *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 4970 (1987).
31. M. E. Fisher, *J. Math. Phys.* **7**, 1776 (1966).

32. 1

- 33. H. Moscovici, and R.J. Stanton, *Invent. Math.* **105**, 185 (1991)
- 34. J. Milnor, *Infinite Cyclic Coverings*, in *Topology of Manifolds*, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1968
- 35. H. Zieschang, *Finite Groups of Mapping Classes*; Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **875**; Berlin, 1981.
- 36. C. P. Rourke, and B. J. Sanderson, *Ann. Math.* **87**, 1, 256, and 431 (1968).
- 37. A. Kerber, *Representations of Permutation Groups*; Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **240**; Berlin, 1971.
- 38. C. Itzykson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 210** [SF 6], 448, and 477 (1982) and S. Samuel, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 2806, 2815, and 2820 (1980).
- 39. E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351.
- 40. J. Murakami, *The Parallel Version of Link Invariants*, Osaka Preprints (1987);
H. R. Morton and P. M. Strickland, “Jones Polynomials Invariants for Knots and Satellites”, to appear on *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (1991).
- 41. L. H. Kauffman and H. Saleur, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **141**, 293 (1991)
- 42. L. H. Kauffman, *Topology* **20** (1981) 101.
- 43. V.I. Arnol'd, private communication, to appear in the Proceedings of the Stony Brooks Symposium in honour of J. Milnor, A. Phillips, ed.; Publish or Perish Press, Boston
- 44. A. Alekseev, L. Faddeev, and A. Volkov, *The unravelling of the quantum group structure in the WZNW theory*, CERN preprint TH-5981/91
- 45. G. Ponzano and T. Regge, in *Spectroscopy and Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, North-Holland Publ.Co. (Amsterdam 1968).
- 46. T. Regge, *Nuovo Cimento* **19** (1961) 551.
- 47. H. Ooguri, and N. Sasakura, *Discrete and continuum approaches to three-dimensional quantum gravity*, KUNS Preprint 1088/HE(TH) RIMS-788, 1991

48. S. Mizoguchi, and T. Tada, *3-dimensional gravity from the Turaev-Viro invariant*, YITP/U Preprint 91-43, 1991
49. V. G. Turaev, *Inventiones Math.* **92** (1987) 527;
 A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Representations of the $U_q(sl(2))$ Algebra, q -Orthogonal Polynomials and Invariants of Links*, LOMI preprint E-9-88.
50. See for example W. B. R. Lickorish and K. C. Millet, *Topology* **26** (1987) 107.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. An example of the correspondence between a knot diagram D_K and its relative planar Feynman graph Γ_K for a Gaussian fermionic theory.
2. The trefoil, its planar representation and relative correspondences.